

New Issue: MOODY'S ASSIGNS MIG 1 RATING TO NEW BEDFORD'S (MA) \$37.7 MILLION GENERAL OBLIGATION BOND ANTICIPATION NOTES

Global Credit Research - 27 Jan 2012

A1 LONG-TERM RATING APPLIES TO \$94.6 MILLION OF LONG-TERM GOLT DEBT; \$84 MILLION ALSO CARRIES ENHANCED Aa2 RATING WITH STABLE OUTLOOK

NEW BEDFORD (CITY OF) MA

Cities (including Towns, Villages and Townships) MA

Moody's Rating

ISSUE RATING

Bond Anticipation Notes (Series A - Renewal - Taxable) MIG 1

Sale Amount \$7,353,000

Expected Sale Date 02/03/12

Rating Description Note: Bond Anticipation

Bond Anticipation Notes (Series B - Renewal - Tax Exempt) MIG 1

Sale Amount \$4,100,000

Expected Sale Date 02/03/12

Rating Description Note: Bond Anticipation

Bond Anticipation Notes (Series C - New Money and Renewal - Tax Exempt) MIG 1

Sale Amount \$26,262,625

Expected Sale Date 02/03/12

Rating Description Note: Bond Anticipation

Moody's Outlook N/A

Opinion

NEW YORK, January 27, 2012 --Moody's Investors Service has assigned a MIG 1 rating to the City of New Bedford's (MA) \$7.3 million General Obligation Bond Anticipation Notes, Series A (dated February 10, 2012 and payable June 15, 2012), \$4.1 million G.O. Bond Anticipation Notes, Series B (dated February 10, 2012 and payable June 15, 2012) and \$26.2 million G.O. Bond Anticipation Notes, Series C (dated February 10, 2012 and payable February 8, 2013). Moody's maintains a long-term bond rating of A1, affecting approximately \$94.6 million of New Bedford's previously-issued general obligation, limited tax (GOLT) debt. Of the outstanding GOLT bonds, roughly \$84 million also carries the Massachusetts Qualified Bond Program's enhanced rating of Aa2 with a stable outlook.

The notes are secured by the city's general obligation, limited tax pledge, as debt service has not been exempted from the levy limitations of Proposition 2 ½. Proceeds of the notes provide short-term financing

for the following purposes: Series A - renewal of notes issued for remediation of environmental contamination; Series B - renewal of notes issued for communication equipment and school construction; Series C - \$18.5 million in renewal, and \$7.7 million of new money, for various city capital needs including school construction, airport improvements, computer software and additional remediation of environmental contamination.

SUMMARY RATINGS RATIONALE

Assignment of the MIG 1 rating reflects our expectation that New Bedford is likely to obtain sufficient market access for the current issue, based on the city's history of demonstrated capital market access and our expectation that the notes will be permanently financed by State Qualified Bonds, as well as the city's underlying long-term credit characteristics. The A1 long-term rating incorporates the city's sizeable tax base with below-average wealth and income levels, satisfactory financial position which is expected to narrow over the near term, and affordable debt burden. The enhanced Aa2 rating and stable outlook reflect the additional security provided by the direct payment of debt service by the Commonwealth of Massachusetts (G.O. rated Aa1/stable outlook) under the Qualified Bond Act.

STRENGTHS:

- -Satisfactory history of market access
- -Satisfactory although narrowing, financial position
- Sizable, mature and diverse tax base with deep water port
- Manageable debt burden

CHALLENGES

- -Elevated exposure to state aid
- -High unfunded liabilities for pension and OPEB
- -Modest demographic profile with a declining population trend

DETAILED CREDIT DISCUSSION

ADEQUATE HISTORY OF MARKET ACCESS

New Bedford has demonstrated a satisfactory history of access to the capital markets. The city's most recent note sale, dated August 19, 2011, received four bids on unrated revenue anticipation notes. Four bids were also received on a prior sale of rated bond anticipation notes, dated June 24, 2011. On its note sales both dated February 11, 2011, received three bids on taxable notes and five bids on tax-exempt notes. All bids were received from major regional and national financial institutions. Based on this history we expect New Bedford to continue adequate access to the capital markets, if necessary, at the June 2012 and February 2013 maturities.

MAJORITY OF DEBT ENHANCED BY MASSACHUSETTS QUALIFIED BOND PROGRAM

The enhanced Aa2 rating and stable outlook assigned to approximately \$84 million of New Bedford's outstanding bonded debt, reflects the credit enhancement provided by the Commonwealth of Massachusetts' Qualified Bond Program. The program is a direct-payment system whereby the Commissioner of Revenue authorizes the State Treasurer to deduct from the city's quarterly state aid payments an amount sufficient to meet the city's debt service on qualified securities. In fiscal 2012 New Bedford is expected to receive aid from the commonwealth totaling more than 13 times the city's maximum projected obligation for debt service on the enhanced bonds. The State Treasurer makes debt

service payments directly to a state-approved paying agent on behalf of the city. We believe that the commonwealth's strong commitment to state aid for municipalities and the program's sound payment mechanisms, which do not rely on the trigger of a notice of potential default, enhance the likelihood of full and timely debt service payment. The programmatic rating is linked to the commonwealth's general obligation rating, which is one rating notch higher at Aa1 with a stable outlook.

STABLE FINANCIAL POSITION WITH SLIM RESERVES; OPERATIONS HIGHLY DEPENDENT ON STATE AID

New Bedford's fiscal profile is expected to remain satisfactory, despite recent reductions in state aid, which represented 56.2% of general fund revenues in fiscal 2011 and is expected to remain a significant revenue stream for the city. The city's budget has been stressed since a mid-year \$2.78 million reduction in fiscal 2009 local aid from the commonwealth and a \$1 million deficit in local receipts. Local aid was further reduced by \$7.9 million in fiscal 2010, and again by \$3.7 million in fiscal 2011, which resulted in a total of 139 city-wide position reductions, through layoffs and attrition, fiscal 2009. Despite significant budget cuts, free cash appropriations were not fully replenished and available reserves have steadily declined to \$20.2 million in fiscal 2011 (unaudited), a narrow 7.1% of General Fund revenues, significantly lower than the fiscal 2007 peak of \$29.8 million, a stronger 11% of revenues.

Midway through fiscal 2012 the city has had no significant unanticipated financial events and projects revenues and expenditures close to budget. The city's free cash was certified at \$1.3 million at the end of fiscal 2011, a significant drop from the \$3.2 million fiscal 2010 free cash reflecting an appropriation for collective bargaining settlements. The city maintains a city stabilization fund of \$5.8 million and a school stabilization fund of \$1.2 million, both of which will be reported in the General Fund in fiscal 2011 when GASB 54 standards are adopted. Of note, the City's adoption of local option meals and hotel occupancy excise taxes have added approximately \$860,000 in annual revenues. Enterprise operations are projected to again be positive in fiscal 2012, with small increases to each enterprise's free cash.

A new mayoral administration took office early in January 2012 and is beginning to develop the fiscal 2013 budget. The city's operating budget remains pressured by rising health care costs and slower growth in revenues, however no significant changes to city operations are anticipated. The fiscal 2012 tax rate was adjusted to compensate for loss in assessed value and to capture the 2.5% levy increase allowed under Proposition 2 ½, however the city continues to maintain unused property tax levy capacity of roughly \$4.7 million in an effort to keep commercial property tax rates competitive with other cities in the region. State aid is expected to be flat in fiscal 2013 and was New Bedford's primary revenue source at 56.2% in fiscal 2011; property tax represented 31% of fiscal 2011 revenues and collections have improved to over 96% on a current-year basis, with close to 100% collected within the subsequent fiscal year.

The city's other post employment benefits (OPEB) actuarial study, as of January 1, 2008, identifies an unfunded liability of \$225 million, which is primarily due to retiree health insurance. New Bedford's pay-as-you-go OPEB expense was roughly \$20.5 million in fiscal 2011, significantly lower than the annual required contribution (ARC) of \$30 million, which amortizes the unfunded liability over 30 years, as identified in the study. The city has no plans to establish a trust for OPEB or to fund the unfunded liability. City officials have historically issued RANs (\$10 million in fiscal 2012) to allow prepayment of the city's pension obligations, yielding significant savings in avoided interest charges from the retirement system. RANs are repaid prior to the June 30 fiscal year-end and city officials project similar levels of RAN borrowing in fiscal 2013.

New Bedford will be challenged to maintain service levels as well as adequate reserves and financial flexibility. Moving forward, maintaining structural balance will be critical to the city's financial strength as free cash appropriations, if unreplenished, could further erode reserves. New Bedford's ability to maintain adequate financial flexibility while growing reserves, at a minimum on pace with budgetary expansion, will be critical to the city's credit strength.

MODERATE NEW DEVELOPMENT CONTINUES IN LARGE COASTAL TAX BASE

New Bedford, located on Buzzards Bay on the southeast shore of Massachusetts, is a local industrial center and a major regional fishing port with a deep water harbor. The city's tax base will sustain additional development, but overall assessed values continue to decline over the near term as regional and national residential real estate values remain soft. The city's assessed valuation has sustained moderate declines totaling 15% since 2008 and averaging 4.1% annually. The city's fiscal 2012 assessed valuation of \$5.67 billion incorporates declines in single and multi-family housing sectors, and relatively stable commercial and industrial values. The city continues to enjoy healthy annual new growth revenue averaging over \$1.5 million since fiscal 2000 due to steady residential development as well as commercial growth and industrial expansion as tax increment financing incentives expire. New growth revenue dipped to only \$987,000 in fiscal 2011, but new commercial development drove an increase to \$1.2 million for fiscal 2012. While continued tax base expansion is anticipated over the longer term, further assessed values declines are likely in the near term due to ongoing weakening in regional residential real estate markets.

New Bedford's economic development programs have been effective in the commercial sector, particularly in former mill properties along the Acushnet River, where site preparation and infrastructure improvements are underway. A proposed extension of the commuter rail from Boston (G.O. rated Aaa/stable outlook), enhancement of the regional airport and improved service offered by the high-speed ferry for passengers and freight to Martha's Vineyard could fuel long-term growth in all sectors. Residential valuations are generally soft and new residential construction has slowed in several 100+ unit developments, however sales of \$300,000 single-family homes continue in the city's North End. Improvements at the city-owned airport are expected to support the vibrant commercial fishing industry by allowing direct shipment of fishing products from the city. Continued tax base development and the expected additional property tax revenues are particularly important to the city's credit profile.

In the past five years the city's large industrial park has added 10 companies and 700,000 square feet of developed property and gained 1,600 employees. Major employers include Southcoast Health System (5,584), Acushnet Company (2,300) and University of Massachusetts--Dartmouth (1,000). Management reports that redevelopment of the city's downtown district is underway as older commercial buildings are redeveloped into student housing for nearby UMass-Dartmouth facilities. However, New Bedford socioeconomic indices are significantly weaker than the state as evidenced by per capita income at 60% and median family income 58% of state medians. The poverty rate is 20.2% and unemployment has fallen from the 14.6% peak in 2010 but remains elevated at 11.7% in November 2011, notably high although historically the jobless rate in the city consistently exceeds that of the commonwealth (6.4% in November 2011). The equalized valuation per capita is a modest \$68,101, well below the commonwealth and national medians.

MODERATE DEBT BURDEN SUPPORTED BY STATE REIMBURSEMENT FOR SCHOOL PROJECTS

The city's debt position will remain affordable given significant levels of self-supporting debt and anticipated commonwealth grants in support of school construction projects, as well as limited borrowing plans. New Bedford's overall debt burden is a moderate 1.7% of equalized value, which includes a small amount (\$2 million) of overlapping vocational high school and regional refuse system district debt. After significant (90%) anticipated commonwealth school building aid is deducted, New Bedford's overall debt burden drops to a more manageable 1.5% of equalized value. Amortization of long-term principal, including \$139 million in wastewater system loans from the Massachusetts Water Pollution Abatement Trust (rated Aaa/stable outlook), is average at 73.3% within 10 years, and debt service claims a modest level of General Fund expenditures (3% in fiscal 2011). Water and sewer rates are adjusted annually to maintain the self-supporting nature of the water and wastewater enterprise funds. Water and sewer upgrades and school projects represent the majority of the \$190 million in outstanding debt authorizations, however a portion of the outstanding authorizations are no longer needed and are likely to be rescinded. New Bedford has no exposure to variable or auction rate debt or swap agreements.

WHAT COULD MAKE THE RATING GO UP

- -Substantial and sustained increase in available reserves
- -Improvement in local economy, including tax base valuations and demographics

WHAT COULD MAKE THE RATING GO DOWN

- -Decline in available reserves
- -Adoption of less conservative approach to budgeting
- -Increase in debt burden

KEY STATISTICS

2010 Population (US Census): 95,072 (+3.1% from 2000)

2011 Equalized Valuation: \$6.4 billion

Average Annual Equalized Value Growth (2005-2011): 5.5%

2011 Equalized Value Per Capita: \$68,101

Unemployment (November, 2011): 11.7% (MA 6.4%, US 8.2%)

FY10 General Fund Balance: \$17.5 million (6.1% of General Fund revenues)

FY10 Available Reserves: \$21.6 million (7.6% of General Fund revenues)

FY11 General Fund Balance (unaudited): \$20.2 million (7.1% of General Fund revenues)

FY11 Unrestricted, Spendable General Fund (includes Stabilization Fund) Reserves: \$20.2 million (7.1% of General Fund revenues)

1999 Per capita income: \$15,602 (60% of MA, 72% of US)

1999 Median Family income: \$35,708 (58% of MA, 71% of US)

Overall Debt Burden (adjusted): 1.5%

Amortization of Principal (10 years): 73.3%

General Obligation long-term debt outstanding: \$94.6 million

State Qualified long-term debt outstanding: \$84 million

PRINCIPAL METHODOLOGY USED

The principal methodology used in this rating was Bond Anticipation Notes and Other Short-Term Capital Financings published in May 2007. Please see the Credit Policy page on www.moodys.com for a copy of this methodology.

REGULATORY DISCLOSURES

Although this credit rating has been issued in a non-EU country which has not been recognized as endorsable at this date, this credit rating is deemed "EU qualified by extension" and may still be used by financial institutions for regulatory purposes until 30 April 2012. Further information on the EU

endorsement status and on the Moody's office that has issued a particular Credit Rating is available on www.moodys.com.

For ratings issued on a program, series or category/class of debt, this announcement provides relevant regulatory disclosures in relation to each rating of a subsequently issued bond or note of the same series or category/class of debt or pursuant to a program for which the ratings are derived exclusively from existing ratings in accordance with Moody's rating practices. For ratings issued on a support provider, this announcement provides relevant regulatory disclosures in relation to the rating action on the support provider and in relation to each particular rating action for securities that derive their credit ratings from the support provider's credit rating. For provisional ratings, this announcement provides relevant regulatory disclosures in relation to the provisional rating assigned, and in relation to a definitive rating that may be assigned subsequent to the final issuance of the debt, in each case where the transaction structure and terms have not changed prior to the assignment of the definitive rating in a manner that would have affected the rating. For further information please see the ratings tab on the issuer/entity page for the respective issuer on www.moodys.com.

Information source used to prepare the rating are the following: parties involved in the ratings, parties not involved in the ratings, public information, confidential and proprietary Moody's Investors Service's information, and confidential and proprietary Moody's Analytics' information.

Moody's considers the quality of information available on the rated entity, obligation or credit satisfactory for the purposes of issuing a rating.

Moody's adopts all necessary measures so that the information it uses in assigning a rating is of sufficient quality and from sources Moody's considers to be reliable including, when appropriate, independent third-party sources. However, Moody's is not an auditor and cannot in every instance independently verify or validate information received in the rating process.

Please see the ratings disclosure page on www.moodys.com for general disclosure on potential conflicts of interests.

Please see the ratings disclosure page on www.moodys.com for information on (A) MCO's major shareholders (above 5%) and for (B) further information regarding certain affiliations that may exist between directors of MCO and rated entities as well as (C) the names of entities that hold ratings from MIS that have also publicly reported to the SEC an ownership interest in MCO of more than 5%. A member of the board of directors of this rated entity may also be a member of the board of directors of a shareholder of Moody's Corporation; however, Moody's has not independently verified this matter.

Please see Moody's Rating Symbols and Definitions on the Rating Process page on www.moodys.com for further information on the meaning of each rating category and the definition of default and recovery.

Please see ratings tab on the issuer/entity page on www.moodys.com for the last rating action and the rating history.

The date on which some ratings were first released goes back to a time before Moody's ratings were fully digitized and accurate data may not be available. Consequently, Moody's provides a date that it believes is the most reliable and accurate based on the information that is available to it. Please see the ratings disclosure page on our website www.moodys.com for further information.

Please see www.moodys.com for any updates on changes to the lead rating analyst and to the Moody's legal entity that has issued the rating.

Analysts

Susan Kendall

Lead Analyst Public Finance Group Moody's Investors Service

Kevork Khrimian Backup Analyst Public Finance Group Moody's Investors Service

Geordie Thompson Additional Contact Public Finance Group Moody's Investors Service

Contacts

Journalists: (212) 553-0376 Research Clients: (212) 553-1653

Moody's Investors Service, Inc. 250 Greenwich Street New York, NY 10007 USA



© 2012 Moody's Investors Service, Inc. and/or its licensors and affiliates (collectively, "MOODY'S"). All rights reserved.

CREDIT RATINGS ISSUED BY MOODY'S INVESTORS SERVICE, INC. ("MIS") AND ITS AFFILIATES ARE MOODY'S CURRENT OPINIONS OF THE RELATIVE FUTURE CREDIT RISK OF ENTITIES, CREDIT COMMITMENTS, OR DEBT OR DEBT-LIKE SECURITIES, AND CREDIT RATINGS AND RESEARCH PUBLICATIONS PUBLISHED BY MOODY'S ("MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS") MAY INCLUDE MOODY'S CURRENT OPINIONS OF THE RELATIVE FUTURE CREDIT RISK OF ENTITIES, CREDIT COMMITMENTS, OR DEBT OR DEBT-LIKE SECURITIES. MOODY'S DEFINES CREDIT RISK AS THE RISK THAT AN ENTITY MAY NOT MEET ITS CONTRACTUAL, FINANCIAL OBLIGATIONS AS THEY COME DUE AND ANY ESTIMATED FINANCIAL LOSS IN THE EVENT OF DEFAULT. CREDIT RATINGS DO NOT ADDRESS ANY OTHER RISK, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO: LIQUIDITY RISK, MARKET VALUE RISK, OR PRICE VOLATILITY. CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S OPINIONS INCLUDED IN MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT STATEMENTS OF CURRENT OR HISTORICAL FACT, CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS DO NOT CONSTITUTE OR PROVIDE INVESTMENT OR FINANCIAL ADVICE. AND CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT AND DO NOT PROVIDE RECOMMENDATIONS TO PURCHASE, SELL, OR HOLD PARTICULAR SECURITIES. NEITHER CREDIT RATINGS NOR MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS COMMENT ON THE SUITABILITY OF AN INVESTMENT FOR ANY PARTICULAR INVESTOR. MOODY'S ISSUES ITS CREDIT RATINGS AND PUBLISHES MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS WITH THE EXPECTATION AND UNDERSTANDING THAT EACH INVESTOR WILL MAKE ITS OWN STUDY AND EVALUATION OF EACH SECURITY THAT IS UNDER CONSIDERATION FOR PURCHASE, HOLDING, OR SALE.

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS PROTECTED BY LAW. INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO, COPYRIGHT LAW, AND NONE OF SUCH INFORMATION MAY BE COPIED OR OTHERWISE REPRODUCED, REPACKAGED, FURTHER TRANSMITTED, TRANSFERRED, DISSEMINATED, REDISTRIBUTED OR RESOLD, OR STORED FOR SUBSEQUENT USE FOR ANY SUCH PURPOSE, IN WHOLE OR IN PART, IN ANY FORM OR MANNER OR BY ANY MEANS WHATSOEVER, BY ANY PERSON WITHOUT MOODY'S PRIOR WRITTEN CONSENT. All information contained herein is obtained by MOODY'S from sources believed by it to be accurate and reliable. Because of the possibility of human or mechanical error as well as other factors, however, all information contained herein is provided "AS IS" without warranty of any kind. MOODY'S adopts all necessary measures so that the information it uses in assigning a credit rating is of sufficient quality and from sources Moody's considers to be reliable, including, when appropriate, independent third-party sources. However, MOODY'S is not an auditor and cannot in every instance independently verify or validate information received in the rating process. Under no circumstances shall MOODY'S have any liability to any person or entity for (a) any loss or damage in whole or in part caused by, resulting from, or relating to, any error (negligent or otherwise) or other circumstance or contingency within or outside the control of MOODY'S or any of its directors, officers, employees or agents in connection with the procurement, collection, compilation, analysis, interpretation, communication, publication or delivery of any such information, or (b) any direct, indirect, special, consequential, compensatory or incidental damages whatsoever (including without limitation, lost profits), even if MOODY'S is advised in advance of the possibility of such damages, resulting from the use of or inability to use, any such information. The ratings, financial reporting analysis, projections, and other observations, if any, constituting part of the information contained herein are, and must be construed solely as, statements of opinion and not statements of fact or recommendations to purchase, sell or hold any securities. Each user of the information contained herein must make its own study and evaluation of each security it may consider purchasing, holding or selling. NO WARRANTY, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, AS TO THE ACCURACY, TIMELINESS, COMPLETENESS, MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR ANY PARTICULAR PURPOSE OF ANY SUCH RATING OR OTHER OPINION OR INFORMATION IS GIVEN OR MADE BY MOODY'S IN ANY FORM OR MANNER WHATSOEVER.

MIS, a wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiary of Moody's Corporation ("MCO"), hereby discloses that most issuers of debt securities (including corporate and municipal bonds, debentures, notes and commercial paper) and preferred stock rated by MIS have, prior to assignment of any rating, agreed to pay to MIS for appraisal and rating services rendered by it fees ranging from \$1,500 to approximately \$2,500,000. MCO and MIS also maintain policies and procedures to address the independence of MIS's ratings and rating processes. Information regarding certain affiliations that may exist between directors of MCO and rated entities, and between entities who hold ratings from MIS and have also publicly reported to the SEC an ownership interest in MCO of more than 5%, is posted annually at www.moodys.com under the heading "Shareholder Relations — Corporate Governance — Director and Shareholder Affiliation Policy."

Any publication into Australia of this document is by MOODY'S affiliate, Moody's Investors Service Pty Limited ABN 61 003 399 657, which holds Australian Financial Services License no. 336969. This document is intended to be provided only to "wholesale clients" within the meaning of section 761G of the Corporations Act 2001. By continuing to access this document from within Australia, you represent to MOODY'S that you are, or are accessing the document as a representative of, a "wholesale client" and that neither you nor the entity you represent will directly or indirectly disseminate this document or its contents to "retail clients" within the meaning of section 761G of the Corporations Act 2001.

Notwithstanding the foregoing, credit ratings assigned on and after October 1, 2010 by Moody's Japan K.K. ("MJKK") are MJKK's current opinions of the relative future credit risk of entities, credit

commitments, or debt or debt-like securities. In such a case, "MIS" in the foregoing statements shall be deemed to be replaced with "MJKK". MJKK is a wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiary of Moody's Group Japan G.K., which is wholly owned by Moody's Overseas Holdings Inc., a wholly-owned subsidiary of MCO.

This credit rating is an opinion as to the creditworthiness of a debt obligation of the issuer, not on the equity securities of the issuer or any form of security that is available to retail investors. It would be dangerous for retail investors to make any investment decision based on this credit rating. If in doubt you should contact your financial or other professional adviser.